

#### **Advanced Seminar**

KKID - Coimbatore, October 12 – 14 2011

### **PCM**agura

Training&Consultancy
ProjectCycleManagement

#### **Detailed Outline**

#### **Advanced Training on EC Proposal Writing**

#### Logframe, Concept Notes and Full Proposal

#### Topic:

Judging the **quality of an impact oriented Logical Framework** as an integral starting point for the writing of a potential development project proposal and as a structuring tool for the subsequent formulation of an EC Concept Note and/or Full Proposal. Formulation of "sound" indicators for "output", "use of outputs" and "impact".

Understanding the differences of the **two currently applied Concept Note formats** with its consequences on the formulation practices and evaluating Concept Notes according to the existing evaluation criteria.

Being **relevant to Donor Objectives and Priorities** and writing proposals that respond to the main criteria such as effectiveness, methodology and sustainability.

#### **Objective:**

Participants should be aware of relevant tools and concepts to adequately assess and review logical frameworks, concept notes and full proposals and thus become able to formulate proposals themselves.

#### **Contents:**

Based on complete and assessed logical frameworks, concept notes and full proposals participants will

- discuss and refine examples of current/recent projects that have been submitted and
- understand the reasons for their acceptance or refusal, as a lasting input for further improvements of future proposals.

#### Target group:

Participants should have previous knowledge of advanced concepts of the PCM approach and Objective Oriented Project Planning (having followed the PCM Introduction or similar workshop) and are in a senior position to assist in or formulate Concept Notes and Full Proposals in line and with special reference to current EC application procedures.

### Content

Programme		<b>\$\$\$</b>
Presentation	PCM engl-NEW-2011-brief	001
PCM & LF	The Main Criteria for a Sound impact oriented Logical Framework	002
	Strength + Weaknesses of LFA	003-1
	The Logical Framework for Everybody	003-2
Assessment	Logical Framework Assessment Development	003-3
EC	Example of reference to EC Background Doc.	004-1
	Extract EC application CN –Eva grid 2010 NSA	004-2
	Version TWO of EC Concept Note (Relevance)	004-3
Good Practice	Concept note to Logframe	005-1
	good-practice-EC-concept note-short-2007	005-2
Presentation	Writing successful applications-extract	006
Good practice	Guidelines for Proposal Writing - extract from RC	007
EC	Glossary of Terms- EC-handbook_rom_system	800

# Advanced Training on EC Proposal Writing

Logframe, Concept Note and Full Proposal

Coimbatore, October 12 - 14 2011

### **Programme**

## Wednesday, October 12 - Day 1 - Optimisation of Logical Frameworks

9.30	Welcome and presentation of participants  Purpose and methodology of the seminar
10.00	<ul> <li>Quality criteria of a sound Logical Framework and link to the content of the current EC application procedure (Concept Note and Full Proposal 2009)</li> <li>Importance of indicators and external factors for the Proposal</li> <li>Questions, discussion</li> </ul>
12.00	<ul> <li>Participant's review of existing practices and problems/bottlenecks currently faced in project reality concerning EC proposal writing</li> <li>Presentation of participants' case studies (up to 3 log frames, CN and extract of full proposals)</li> </ul>
13.00 – 14.00	Lunch
15.30-18:00	<ul> <li>Group exercise and discussion of findings</li> <li>Review of logical frameworks and cross-checking their validity to write a sound proposal</li> <li>Are we well prepared to write a Concept Note and Full Proposal?</li> </ul>

# Thursday, October 13 - Day 2 – Application System and Procedures of the EC - Focus on Concept Notes

9.00	Review of Previous Day
0.20	Presentation and Discussion
9.30	Example of a current EC Call for Proposal e.g. the call "NSA-LA India"
	<ul> <li>The importance of the Background, Objectives and Priorities as stated in the EC Guidelines for Grant Application</li> <li>Policy Relevance and how to respond to Donor Requirements</li> </ul>
10.30	<ul> <li>The current formats of EC proposals – special reference to the Concept Note</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Version 1: Relevance valued 15 out of 50 possible points</li> <li>Version 2: Relevance valued 40 out of 50 possible points</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Emphasis on the importance of both structures and link of the content to the respective evaluation grids</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Presentation of participants' case studies (3/4 existing real Concept Notes, submitted, accepted, rejected)</li> </ul>
11.30	Group Exercise
	<ul> <li>Practical and hands-on analysis of the different concept notes and verification of their consistency with the earlier checked logical frameworks</li> </ul>
13.00 – 14.00	Lunch
14.00	Group Exercise (continued)
	Be the evaluator:
	<ul> <li>Grading of the concept notes according to the evaluation grid of the EC</li> <li>Compare own grades with the official ones of the EC</li> </ul>
15.30 -18:00	Presentation of the analysis and the evaluation of the CN
	Do we understand the importance of the structure and the link to the evaluation grid?
	<ul> <li>How binding is the content of the CN for the formulation of the full proposal (1 and 2 stage system, new version – relevance 40 points)</li> </ul>

# Friday, October 14 - Day 3 - Analysis and Best Practices of EC Applications, Focus on Full Proposals

9.00	Review of Previous Day
9.30	Group Exercise
	<ul> <li>Practical review of the main contents of the logical frameworks and Concept Notes and</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Analysis of their importance or consistent forwarding into the full proposal</li> </ul>
11:00	Presentation and Discussion of Full Proposal Analysis
	Clarification of concepts like
	Relevance, Effectiveness, Methodology and Sustainability
12.30 – 13.30	Lunch
13.30	Winding – up
	Practical tips when writing a proposal
	<ul> <li>Approach, timing and sequence</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Relations and responsibilities between field and headquarters</li> </ul>
15.00	Optional
	Other topics requiring in-depth discussion, acc. to questions raised during the seminar, e.g. impact indicators and impact chain
16.00 – 16.30	Seminar evaluation
	End of workshop